DIRECTOR FINANCE
F.G.E.H, Foundation
Islamabad

MINUTES OF THE 40TH MEETING

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HOUSING FOUNDATION
SHAHEED-E-MILLAT SECRETARIAT
*****

7(3)/94-HF(C) Islamabad, the 15th July, 1994.

Subject:- MINUTES OF THE 40TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD ON 26-6-1994.

A copy of the minutes of the 40th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation held on Sunday, the 26th June, 1994 at 03.00 PM in the Committee Room of the Works' Division is forwarded herewith for information.

(Danishyar Ali)
Assistant Director (A&C)

PS to Secretary,
M/o Housing & Works, Islamabad.

Mr. Abdul Rashid Baloch,
Joint Secretary (Works),
Islamabad.

Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan,
Director General,
Pak. PWD, Islamabad.

Mr. Yawar Zia,
Financial Adviser (Works),
Islamabad.

Dr. Mohammad Rasool Khan,
Joint Engineering Adviser,
Works Division, Islamabad.

Mr. Sarmad Ali,
Director General,
Housing Foundation,
Islamabad.

Mr. [illegible],
Chief Engineer, [illegible],
Islamabad.

[illegible],
[illegible],
Principal Accounts Officer,
Islamabad.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

1. Mr. Moin Ahmad Siddiqi,
Deputy Director General,
Housing Foundation,
Islamabad.

2. Mr. Shahid Hamid,
Director (Tech-I),
Housing Foundation,
Islamabad.

3. Mr. Qamar Shah Khan,
Director (Tech-II),
Housing Foundation,
Islamabad.

4. Mr. Mohammad Ali Shah,
Assistant Director (E-II),
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.

5. Mr. Danishyar Ali,
Assistant Director (A&C),
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.

6. Malik Zafar Abbas,
Law Officer,
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.

(Danishyar Ali)
Assistant Director (A&C)

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HOUSING FOUNDATION
SHAHEED-E-MILLAT SECRETARIAT

MINUTES OF THE 40TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HELD ON 26-6-1994.

The 40th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of the Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation was held on 26-6-1994 at 3.00 P.M. in the Committee Room of the Works division under the chairmanship of its Chairman. The list of participants of the meeting is appended.

2. The Chairman welcomed the participants of the meeting and asked the Director General, Housing Foundation to open the proceedings. The meeting commenced with recitation from the Holy Quran by Director (Technical-I), Housing Foundation. Immediately thereafter the meeting condoled the death of Mr. Imtiaz Ahmad Khan, Director (F/A), Housing Foundation, who expired on 19-6-1994 and offered Fateha for his departed soul.

3. The meeting then took up consideration of the items of the agenda one by one.

Item No.1: CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 39TH MEETING.

4. The minutes of the 39th meeting were confirmed.

Item No.2: REVIEW OF PROGRESS OF THE HOUSING SCHEME ON OWNERSHIP BASIS AT ISLAMABAD (PHASE I).

5. Director General, Housing Foundation, briefed the meeting about the progress of the work. The meeting was informed that so far 262 houses had been handed over to the allottees after

completion or on 'as is where is' basis as requested by them. About 735 houses were already complete and the accounts thereof were under preparation and costs were being intimated to the allottees. Another lot of 297 houses was in the advanced stage of completion and expected to be completed by the end of July 1994. The completion of nearly 300 houses was, however, likely to be delayed further as the contractors of six packages had gone into litigation. Explaining the financial progress of Phase-I, Director General informed that outstanding dues amounting to Rs 4.813 had been retrieved from the defaulting allottees after issue of press notices. The Committee was further informed that there was still a short fall of Rs.48.62 million which had been met out of the loan of Rs 100 million granted by the Finance Division. The Chairman observed that defaulting allottees may be issued another notice in this regard.

6. The provision of infrastructure and other services specially in Sector G-11 could not be reviewed as the Member Engineering, CDA, and Chairman, Area Electricity Board, WAPDA, could not attend the meeting due to their pre-occupation. However, the representative of SNGPL was present who informed the meeting that the work of laying of gas supply pipelines was being hindered due to non-clearance of debris lying in the area. The Chairman desired to take up the issue separately with CDA and WAPDA and also to impress upon these agencies to provide the infrastructure

facilities such as sewerage/drainage system, roads and electricity preferably by the end of July 1994.

Item No. 3: HOUSING SCHEME FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES ON OWNERSHIP BASIS AT LAHORE.

7. Director General, Housing Foundation, explained that the Committee constituted under the Chairmanship of Director General, Pak. PWD, Islamabad, by the Board of Governors of the Housing Foundation, for negotiating the agreement for purchase of land and to work out the modalities for launching the housing scheme for Federal Government employees on ownership basis at Lahore, had since finalized its report/recommendations. He also informed that further negotiations with M/S Universal Enterprises were in hand to arrive at an agreement in the light of the recommendations of the Committee in order to finalize the purchase of about 2000 kanals of land at Raiwind Road, Lahore.

Item No.4: LAUNCHING OF HOUSING SCHEME ON OWNERSHIP BASIS AT PESHAWAR.

8. Having considered the proposals regarding plot sizes, criteria for eligibility, criteria for allotment etc., the Executive Committee decided as follows :-

Plot Sizes:

9. The following sizes intimated by the Peshawar Development Authority were adopted:-

1 Kanal	55'x99'	605 Sq. Yds.
10 Marla	37'x74'	304 Sq. Yds.
5 Marla	25'x50'	139 Sq. Yds.

When it was pointed that it might be difficult to adjust the Federal Government employees of the 22 pay scales in the three sizes, the Committee decided that the employees holding BPS-19 may also be included in category-I. The employees in BPS-1 to BPS-18 may be adjusted in the remaining two sizes. For other stations also the sizes adopted by the local development authorities should be followed.

Criteria for Eligibility:

10. The Executive Committee approved for the Peshawar Scheme also the following criteria for eligibility which were recommended by the Committee constituted for the Housing Scheme at Lahore in order to ensure good response:-

a) (i) The Federal Government employees including civilian employees paid from Defence Estimates and employees of autonomous/semi-autonomous organisations and public sector corporations under the administrative control of the Federal Government who were in service on 1-7-1994.

(ii) Federal Government employees including employees of autonomous/semi-autonomous organisations and public sector corporations re-employed after retirement and who were in service on 1-7-1994.

(b) Armed Forces personnel are not eligible to participate in the Scheme.

(c) Five per cent of the plots in each category shall be earmarked for the retired Federal Government employees including employees of the autonomous/semi-autonomous organisations and public sector corporations under the control of the Federal Government fulfilling the conditions laid down under sub-para (a) above.

(d) Two percent of the plots in each category shall be earmarked for the widows of the eligible Federal Government employees including employees of the autonomous/semi-autonomous organisations and public sector corporations who died during service or those Federal Government employees including employees of autonomous/semi-autonomous organisations and public sector corporations who became disabled during service.

Criteria for Allotment:

11. The following criteria were approved for selection:-

i) The seniority for the purpose of allotment shall be determined in the descending order of age from the date of superannuation.

ii) First priority shall be given to those applicants whose spouses and any other member of their families do not own a plot/house in Peshawar. Each

applicant shall have to submit an affidavit to this effect. In case the contents of the affidavit on verification from record or otherwise were found to be incorrect, the allotment, if made in his favour, shall stand cancelled and the amount deposited forfeited by the Foundation besides disqualifying/ black listing him for participation in any housing scheme. This would be without prejudice to any other legal action that the Housing Foundation may like to take.

Item No.5: HOUSING SCHEME ON OWNERSHIP BASIS FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AT KARACHI - SECTION OF CONSULTANTS/

12. Director General, Housing Foundation explained the background of the selection of consultants for the Housing Scheme on ownership basis for Federal Government employees at Karachi. The Committee was informed that the Project Director, Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation, Karachi, had since issued the work order to M/S Umar Hussain Associates for rendering consultancy services for "preparation of master plan, designing and supervision of infrastructure and amenities", for the first phase of the housing scheme (177 plots) at Karachi on the renumeration as given below:-

a)	For services for pre-tender stage.	0.6% of Rs.68,25,000/-	=	Rs.40,950/-
b)	For post tender stage.	1.65% of Rs.66,27,000/- (for 12 months)	=	Rs.71,663/-
			TOTAL=	Rs.112,613/

Second phase of the scheme shall be launched shortly after acquisition of the requisite land. The Executive Committee noted the progress and expressed its satisfaction.

Item No.6: RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

13. The Executive Committee considered and approved the recommendations made by the Sub-Committee on Estate Management in its meeting held on 26-2-1994, which are reproduced in the succeeding paragraphs. The Committee also agreed to authorise the Chairman to approve recommendations of the sub-committee in future also unless he desired any particular case to be brought before the Executive Committee.

CASE OF MR. ANWARUD-DIN AHMAD, CHIEF, GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF PAKISTAN.

14. Mr. Anwarud Din Ahmad in his application for Phase-II declared the ownership of a house at Lahore in the name of his wife. He was, therefore, placed in priority-II. It was represented by him that the house was gifted by his wife to her two sons on 2-12-1990. He, therefore, pleaded that he may be accorded priority-I for the purpose of allotment of a house. The sub-committee decided that the opinion of the Legal Adviser may also be sought in the matter. Moreover, it may be ascertained whether the memorandum of gift was registered with the Court and, if so, whether this transaction took place before or after the cut off date of Phase-II.

CASE OF MR. MOHAMMAD AZAM KHAN, ADDITIONAL SECRETARY INCHARGE, MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL RESOURCES.

15. Mr. Mohammad Azam Khan while submitting his application for Phase-II did not declare the ownership of any residential house, plot or flat in Rawalpindi/Islamabad or in any of the seven specified major cities of Pakistan. However, on investigation, he was found to be owning a house at Peshawar. Mr. Azam Khan represented that the house was a joint property, belonging to his wife and three sons, of whom his wife and one son were not dependent upon him. Mr. Azam Khan who is the actual applicant for Phase-II, however, does not have any share in the house. As such the benefit of shared accommodation allowed in para 2(vi) of the brochure cannot be availed by him. The Executive Committee decided that the case should be examined in detail and placed before it in its next meeting.

CASE OF MRS. TALAT SHAH, HEAD MISTRESS, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SCHOOL, ISLAMABAD.

16. The above named officer in her application for Phase-II, declared the ownership of a house in the name of her husband. She made a representation that she may be treated a priority-I applicant because her husband was an officer in a Bank and he held an independent position. It was agreed that decision in an identical case of Mr. Saad Ashraf, Joint Secretary, already referred to the Law Division in pursuance of the decision taken in the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 16-2-1994, may be awaited. Since the case of Mr. Ashraf was rejected by the Committee the plea of Mrs. Shah was not accepted.

CASE OF MR. MOHAMMAD AHMAD KHAN, DEPUTY EDUCATIONAL ADVISER, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION.

17. Mr. Mohammad Ahmad Khan, Deputy Educational Adviser, in his application for Phase- I, did not declare any house, plot or flat any-where in Pakistan. However, on investigation it was found that his wife owned 1/12th share in a residential house at Hyderabad. He executed an agreement of sale on 12-5-1993. The sub-committee went into the case and decided that since the agreement was concluded and signed on 12-5-1993 i.e. after the cut off date for Phase-II and he had no share in the house in his name, the benefit of shared accommodation provided in the brochure for Phase-II cannot be afforded to him. The Executive Committee decided that the case should be re-examined and placed before it in its next meeting.

CASE OF MST. SAEEDA BANO, WIDOW OF LATE AGHA ABDUL REHMAN KHAN, ASSISTANT, ECONOMIC AFFAIRS DIVISION.

18. In pursuance of a directive of the Prime Minister, the widows of Government servants who died during their service and did not have any residential accommodation at Islamabad etc., are to be considered for allotment of houses by the Housing Foundation. The Secretary General, Economic Affairs Division, recommended the case of Mst. Saeeda Bano, widow of Agha Abdul Rehman Khan, Assistant Economic Affairs Division, for allotment of a house. Late Mr. Khan died on 2-5-1988. According to the policy approved by the Board of Governors in its 2nd meeting only those widows of Government servants who died during service on or after the cut off date of Phase-I (1-9-1988) are to be considered for allotment of a house.

The sub-committee decided that since in the instant case the husband of Mst. Saeeda Bano had died before the cut off date, no relaxation could be made in her case.

CASE OF MR. ABDUL REHMAN, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, F.G. SIR SYED COLLEGE, RAWALPINDI.

19. The above named officer, in his application for Phase-II declared the ownership of a plot at Rawalpindi in the name of his father, who was dead. The sub-committee considered the case and decided that the date of death of the applicant's father may be ascertained. If it preceded the cut off date of Phase-II (1-12-1991) the house may be treated as an inherited one and Mr. Abdul Rehman may be accorded priority-II, otherwise he may be accorded priority-I.

Item No.7: ALLOTMENT OF LEFT OVER PLOTS OF PHASE-I.

20. The Committee was informed that a total of 59 plots of different categories left over in Phase-I of the Housing Scheme were available for allotment in Phase-II. Out of these, 51 plots had since been allotted to the successful applicants of Phase-II on first-come-first-served basis as decided by the former Chairman, Executive Committee, and 8 plots only were now available for allotment. One of these plots was located in grave yard. The CDA had been requested to allocated another plot in lieu thereof. The Committee was further informed that a number of successful applicants of Phase-II had applied for allotment of the remaining left over plots of Phase-I. Their particulars were placed before the Committee for consideration as to whom the available plots may be allotted. The Committee decided that the matter may be placed for consideration before the Chairman Board of Governors.

Item No.6: QUESTION OF ELIGIBILITY OF FLAT OWNERS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE HOUSING SCHEME (PHASE-II).

21. The Executive Committee in its 50th meeting held on 16-2-1994 considered the question of eligibility of flat owners for allotment of houses/plots in Phase-II of the Housing Scheme and constituted a Special Committee consisting of the following to examine the matter in detail and submit its recommendations for consideration of the Executive Committee:-

i)	Director General, Pak PWD	Chairman
ii)	Joint Secretary, Works Division	Member
iii)	Financial Adviser Works, Finance Division	Member
iv)	Joint Engineering Adviser, Works Division	Member

22. Pursuant to the decision of the Executive Committee the special committee considered the matter and submitted its report wherein it had made the following recommendations:-

i) The applicants of Phase-II who own flats in Islamabad/Rawalpindi and in any of the seven specified major cities may be considered eligible for participation in Phase-II of the Scheme and accorded priority-I provided they fulfil other terms and conditions prescribed for this purpose.

ii) The recommendations at (i) above will apply to only those Federal Government employees who are entitled to and have applied for allotment of houses of categories-I and II according to their entitlement.

iii) The flat owners entitled to and applying for houses of categories-III, IV, V and VI will not be entitled to allotment of houses in Phase-II.

iv) The applicants of categories-I and II houses who own residential plots measuring 200 sq. yeds., or less in Islamabad/Rawalpindi may also be considered on merit on case to case basis.

The Executive Committee approved the recommendations of the Special Committee.

Item No.9: PROPOSAL FOR ALLOTMENT OF HOUSES TO UNSUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS OF PHASE-I WHOSE LENGTH OF SERVICE FELL SHORT BY LESS THAN THREE MONTHS.

23. The Executive Committee was informed that there were a few applicants of Phase-I who could not be considered for allotment in that phase because their length of service fell short of 20 years. They applied afresh in Phase-II but cases of few of them did not mature on the basis of their age-wise seniority. The committee was also informed that they will not be given preference over the fresh applicants in Phase-II because they had not fulfilled the condition of the minimum length of service in Phase-I. Since, however, their advance money remained with the Foundation for about five years, it was proposed that at least

those of them whose length of service fell short only by three months or less may be given preference over the afresh applicants. The Executive Committee did not agree to the proposal.

Item No. 10: REFUND OF DIFFERENCE TO ALLOTTEES OF CATEGORY-I GETTING FOR PLOTS MEASURING 500 SQ. YDS.

24. Of the 175 plots of Category-I demarked by CDA in Phase-II, 53 were of the size of 600 sq. yds and 71 of 500 sq. yds. The Executive Committee was informed that in the provisional allotment letters issued against these plots the allottees were requested to deposit Rs 4,60,000/-, which is the provisional cost of a plot measuring 600 sq. yds. with the stipulation that those of them who were ultimately allotted plots measuring 500 sq. yds. through ballot would be refunded the difference of the two amounts i.e. Rs.2,30,000/- (4,60,000.00 - 2,50,000.00). The meeting was informed that pending balloting some of the allottees had sent their option for allotment of plots measuring 600 sq. yds or 500 sq. yds. although no such options were invited. They have also requested for refund of the balance amount. It was proposed to refund the amounts to the respective applicants pending ballot as their number was small and they could be easily accommodated within the available plots of the two sizes. The Executive Committee approved the proposal.

Item No.11: MEDICAL FACILITIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF HOUSING FOUNDATION.

25. It was brought to the notice of the Executive Committee that although Housing Foundation had adopted the scales of pay and allowances of the Federal Government in toto, the medical

facilities provided to Government employees were not available to the employees of the Foundation. This, it was pointed out, proved as a disincentive in attracting suitable persons for employment in the Foundation against various posts, besides causing hardship to those who were already in its employment. The meeting was further informed that certain proposals for providing medical facilities to the Foundation employees had been placed before the Executive Committee in the past but they were not approved because the expenditure involved was on a high side.

26. In the light of the position stated above it was proposed that instead of having a comprehensive scheme for providing medical facilities, a fixed medical allowance at the following rate may be allowed to the employees of the Foundation :-

Pay Scale	Monthly Allowance	
1 - 10	Rs. 200)	Inclusive of Rs.60/- per month being already paid.
11-15	Rs. 300)	
16-18	Rs. 350	
19-20	Rs. 400	

The cases of hospitalization, would be considered on merit on case to case basis. The Executive Committee approved the payment of the medical allowance at the proposed rates with effect from 1st July, 1994. It was made clear that the deputationists and retired Government servants employed on contract in the Foundation would not be entitled to claim any reimbursement on account of purchase of medicines prescribed by Govt. [illegible]

Item No.12: ALLOTMENT OF A CATEGORY-I HOUSE TO MR.SAAD ASHRAF, JOINT SECRETARY, ESTABLISHMENT DIVISION.

27. Para 3 (iv) of the brochure for Phase-II lays down that the Federal Government employees including those who were retired and who owned houses/flats/residential plots either in their names or in the names of dependent members of their families in Islamabad/Rawalpindi are not eligible to participate in Phase-II. The meeting was informed that Mr. Saad Ashraf, Joint Secretary, Establishment Division, in his application for Phase II had made declaration that his wife being herself in Government service was not dependent on him and therefore he could not be made ineligible to participate in the scheme for the only reason that she owned a house at Islamabad on 1-12-1991. The matter was placed before the Executive Committee who desired that opinion of the Law and Justice Division in the matter should be obtained. Accordingly the matter was referred to that Division who expressed the following views:-

"Under the Rules of Business, 1973, the Law and Justice Division are required to tender advice to other Divisions on legal and Constitutional questions and on the interpretation of any law. The present reference does not raise any such [illegible] we are not supposed to advise on the [illegible] of any housing scheme as set out in its brochure. It rests solely with the persons responsible for the administration of affairs of the Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation to decide as to who is or is not eligible for allotment of a plot/house under its [illegible] Scheme and lay down a formula/criterion/standard in this regard. However, any such dispensation/policy has invariably to be uniform, fair and judicious instead of being discriminatory vis-a-vis the category of applicants similarly placed. Auditors

to add that the Government Servants (Conduct) Rules, 1964, and other rules defining "family of a Government serant" or "member of a Government servant's family" have no relevance to the matter in issue in as much as all these rules, in their import and applicability, are intended to serve the individual specific purpose for which each set thereof has been framed."

28. The Executive Committee considered the matter in the light of the Law Division advice and decided that Mr. Ashraf was not eligible for allotment of a house in the scheme.

Item No.13: REQUEST FROM MR. MUHAMMAD HABIB KHAN, I.G. POLICE, AZAD GOVERNMENT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR, MUZAFFARABAD, FOR ALLOTMENT OF A CATEGORY-I HOUSE.

29. Mr. Muhammad Habib Khan while serving as D.I.G. Police (BPS-19) applied for allotment of Category-II house in Phase-I of the scheme. His case did not mature for allotment on the basis of his date of birth (13-2-1945). Subsequently he submitted an application in October, 1991 to the then Minister for Housing and Works requesting for allotment of a Category-I house on the ground that he had been promoted to BPS-20 in August, 1989. He was informed that since he had been holding BPS-19 on the cut off date of Phase-I, he was not eligible to be considered for allotment of a Category-I house in Phase-I. He was advised to apply for allotment of a Category-I house in Phase-II which was to be announced shortly. He applied for allotment of a category-I house in Phase-II but his case did not mature. However, being an unsuccessful applicant of Phase-I for a category-II house he was entitled to allotment of a house in that category in preference to the fresh applicants of Phase-II according to the allotment of a

category-II house which was allotted to him. However, the case was re-examined and it transpired that his case might mature for allotment of a category-I house in Phase-II if additional plots became available. A question arose whether on availability of additional plots Mr. Khan should be considered and allotted a category-I plot in Phase-II in lieu of the category-II plot already allotted to him. The Executive Committee decided that since Mr. Khan had already been allotted a category-II house, he was not entitled to allotment of a category-I house even if additional plots became available. The Committee observed in this connection that this also was in accordance with the policy adopted in other similar cases.

Item No. 14: CASE OF MR. Z.A. AGHA, SECTION OFFICER (RETD), FOR ALLOTMENT OF A HOUSE.

30. The meeting was informed that in his application for Phase-II, Mr. Z.A. Agha, Section Officer (Retd), had made a declaration that he owned a house/flat in Karachi but that it was contracted to be sold and even earnest money had been received by him on 25-3-1989. On this ground he requested for being accorded priority-I as he could not be said to be owner of the house on the cut off date i.e. 1-12-1991. The legal opinion of our Legal Adviser to whom the case was referred was as under :-

"Agreement to sell does not convey the rights to the vendee. This is clearly laid down in Section 54 of the Transfer of Property Act [illegible] the applicant was not an owner of a house/flat in Karachi because he had entered into an agreement to sell. Therefore, not tenable."

Subsequently the matter was referred to the Sub-Committee on Estate Management which decided that the documents about the contract to sell the house on 25-3-1989 alongwith payment receipts etc. be asked for from Mr. Agha for examination of the case further. Accordingly Mr. Agha was asked to furnish the relevant documents. In response, he submitted a receipt dated 25-3-1989 for Rs.50,000/- as earnest money stating further that the total deal amounted to Rs.1,04,00,000/- and that the balance would be paid on handing over posession within four months. He, however submitted a copy of the conveyance deed of the house according to which the deed was finalised on 4-5-1993. The Executive Committee considered the matter in depth but did not agree to place Mr. Agha's case in priority-I.

Item No. 15: ALLOTMENT OF CATEGORY-II HOUSE UNDER HOUSING SCHEME (PHASE-I EXTENSION) - CASE OF MR. A.A.K. NIAZI, DIRECTOR, SECURITY, ADBP.

31. The case of Mr. A.A.K. Niazi, Director, Security, ADBP, for allotment of a house out of discretionary quota was considered by the Executive Committee but was not agreed to as the discretionary quota was no longer available.

Item No. 16: QUESTION OF ACCORDING PRIORITY-I TO CH. M. RAFIQUE, ASSISTANT AGRICULTURE CENSUS COMMISSIONER, WHO SHARES TWO HOUSES AT LAHORE WITH HIS BROTHERS.

32. The Executive Committee considered that Ch. M. Rafique, Assistant Agriculture Census Commissioner, an applicant for category-II house in Phase-II, owns two houses at Lahore which were inherited from his father and are shared equally with

brothers including him. Since the shares owned by him did not constitute independent units, the Executive Committee agreed to accord him priority-I in Phase-II.

Item No. 17: CASE OF DR. TANVIR HUSSAIN FOR ALLOTMENT OF A HOUSE.

33. The meeting was told that Mrs. Saeeda Tahir Kheli Tanvir, Director, Films and Publications, an applicant for a category-II house in Phase-I, did not qualify for participation in the scheme as she had not completed 20 years service on 1-9-1986. The advance money deposited by her was, therefore, refunded to her. Subsequently she approached the then Secretary, Works, who was pleased to remark on her application as follows :-

"She may be advised to apply in Phase-II in category-II. She should be given preference."

Mrs. Saeeda did not apply in Phase-II. Instead her husband Dr. Tanvir Hussain applied for a category-II house in Phase-II of the Housing Scheme but on the basis of age-wise seniority his case did not mature for allotment. He could not be given preference over fresh applicants in Phase-II as it was not he but his wife who had applied in Phase-I. Even if she had applied in Phase-II she could not have been given preference because her length of service was short of 20 years in Phase-I. The matter was considered by the Executive Committee but it did not agree to the allotment of a house to Dr. Tanvir Hussain.

Item No. 18: CASE OF MR. TARIQ MASOOD KHAN, CHIEF ENGINEER, MES FOR ACCORDING HIM PRIORITY-I.

34. The meeting was informed that Mr. Tariq Masood Khan, Chief Engineer, MES, an applicant for allotment of a category-II house in Phase-II, owned a residential plot measuring 600 sq. yds. in Chaklala Scheme No.3, Rawalpindi Cantt, and that, therefore, he was accorded priority-II. He had, however, been making representations that he may be considered in the Housing Scheme on the ground that the plot which was allotted to him in 1984 in Chaklala Scheme NO.3 was unsuitable for construction of the house as it was situated next to brick kilns and was subject to frequent digging and tresspassing. It was explained in the meeting that according to the terms and conditions for allotment of houses in Phase-II a Federal Government employee who was ever allotted a residential plot/flat either in his name or in the name of his spouse by CDA, Housing Foundation, Defence Housing Authority or any other such agency were not eligible to participate in the Scheme. In view of this, the Executive Committee did not agree to the request of the applicant.

Item No. 19: CASE OF ALLOTMENT OF CATEGORY-II PLOT TO MR. AHMAD IBRAHIM FARIDI IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE WAFAQI MOHTASIB.

35. Mr. Ahmad Ibrahim Faridi, Director Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, an applicant for allotment of a category-II house in Phase-I was accorded priority-II as he owned a house in Karachi. His case did not mature for allotment and,

therefore, he withdrew the amount deposited by him. He applied in Phase-II afresh but by then he had retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation. He could not be given preference over the fresh applicants as he had withdrawn the partial cost of land deposited by him. He approached the Wafaqi Mohtasib for being given preference over the fresh applicants of Phase-II. The Wafaqi Mohtasib recommended as under :-

> "After consideration of all materials on record it has emerged that the complainant was informed that as he was not successful in Phase-I, he would be considered in Phase-II alongwith other applicants of Phase-I. This intimation was given to him after two years of withdrawal of amount deposited in Phase-I and thus he remained hopeful for allotment in Phase-II and so he applied afresh."

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Wafaqi Mohtasib his case was placed before the Executive Committee in its meeting held on 16-2-1994 but the Committee decided that since he had withdrawn his seed money of Phase-I he was out of the priority list and, therefore, could not be given preference over others. He, however, submitted another application stressing the point that since he was informed that his case would be considered in Phase-II two years after the withdrawl of the amount by him, he should be considered for allotment in Phase-II as recommended by the Wafaqi Mohtasib. The matter was, therefore, placed again before the Executive Committee in its meeting held on 26-6-1994 but the Committee upheld its previous decision.

Item No. XX: CASE OF MR. ZAFAR IQBAL, DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTANT, FOR ALLOTMENT OF A HOUSE.

36. The Executive Committee was informed that Mr. Zafar Iqbal, Divisional Accountant, Pakistan Audit Department, an applicant for a category-III house in Phase II, was accorded priority-II as his wife owned a house at Lahore which had been inherited by her. Subsequently he stated that the house in the name of his wife was outside the limits of Lahore Metropolitan Corporation. He was, therefore, asked to furnish documentary evidence in support of his statement. The registration deed furnished by him showed that the house was purchased by his wife. A certificate furnished by him showed that the house was outside the limits of Lahore Development Authority. The Executive Committee considered the matter and desired that full information about the exact locality and area where the house in question was situated may be obtained.

37. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.